

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**A NONPROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION****FOR****DEVICES AND METHODS FOR ISOLATING RNA****RELATED APPLICATIONS**

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part of and claims benefit to U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 10/693,428, filed October 24, 2003, which is a continuation-in-part of and claims benefit to U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/631,189, filed on July 31, 2003.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] This invention relates generally to devices and methods for isolating biological materials, such as nucleic acids. More particularly the invention pertains to the isolation of total cellular RNA from biological material.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] Many molecular biological techniques, including gene expression techniques such as hybridization arrays, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), cloning, restriction analysis, and sequencing require the input of high-purity, intact RNA. The RNA should be substantially free of contaminants that can interfere with the procedures. Such possible contaminants include substances that block or inhibit chemical reactions such as nucleic acid or protein hybridizations, enzymatically catalyzed reactions and other reactions used in molecular biology, substances that catalyze the degradation or de-polymerization of a nucleic acid or other biological material of interest, or substances that provide "background" indicative of the presence in a sample of a quantity of a biological target material (such as nucleic acid) when the nucleic acid is not actually present in the sample in question. Other contaminants can include enzymes, other types of proteins, polysaccharides, or polynucleotides, as well as lower molecular weight substances such as lipids, low molecular weight enzyme inhibitors, or oligonucleotides.

Contamination can also be introduced from chemicals or other materials used to isolate the material in question. Contaminants of this type can include trace metals, dyes and organic solvents. In addition, isolation of nucleic acids is complicated by the complex systems in which the nucleic acids are typically found – including tissues, body fluids, cells in culture, agarose or polyacrylamide gels or solutions in which target nucleic acid amplification has been carried out.

[0004] Thus, the preparation of such high purity, intact RNA that can be used in a subsequent molecular biological technique often involves tedious multi-step processes, and conventional nucleic acid isolation procedures have significant drawbacks. Such drawbacks include the fact that many of the current methods include steps of organic extraction which involve the use of toxic chemicals such as phenol (a known carcinogen), volatile reagents such as chloroform (which is highly volatile, toxic and flammable) and are difficult to perform in an automated or high throughput fashion. Additionally, use of organic solvent extraction methods results in organic wastes that must be disposed of in a regulated and environmentally conscientious manner. Another drawback is the time required for the multiple extraction steps needed to isolate a given nucleic acid material. Thus, even under ideal circumstances and conditions, most conventional nucleic acid isolation methods are time-consuming, hazardous, and end up producing relatively low yields of isolated nucleic acid material.

[0005] Some commercially available isolation systems developed as an alternative to, or in addition to, the conventional isolation techniques mentioned above often involve the use of a silicate or glass-fiber filter as a nucleic acid binding substrate. It is well known that nucleic acids will bind to silicon-containing materials such as glass slurries and diatomaceous earth. The difficulties with some of these materials is that the required silicate material is often not readily commercially available in the appropriate form, and often must be prepared on-site which adds additional time and effort to the nucleic acid isolation procedure.

[0006] Silica-based systems and methods have also been developed in recent years for use in isolating total RNA from at least some types of biological materials.

The known silica-based RNA isolation techniques employ the same basic sequence of steps to isolate target RNA from any given biological material. However, the concentrations and amounts of the various solutions used in each procedure vary depending on the composition of the silica-based material used. In general, the basic sequence of steps used in all known silica-based RNA isolation processes consists of: disruption of the biological material in the presence of a lysis buffer; formation of a complex of nucleic acid(s) and a “silica-based matrix”; removal of the lysis buffer mixture from the resulting complex and washing of the complex; and elution of the target nucleic acid from the complex. In general, the term “silica-based” is used to describe SiO₂ compounds and related hydrated oxides.

[0007] In recent years there have also been developed methods for purification of DNA and RNA that involve binding the nucleic acid to silicon carbide particles and then eluting the nucleic acid from the silicon carbide. Note that silicon carbide is not “silica-based” as defined above and would not be included in any composition of matter that is defined as being “silica-based”.

[0008] While the various kits available provide a relatively rapid means of isolating DNA or RNA from a variety of biological materials, to those skilled in the art, however, there are known limitations in the use of silica-based nucleic acid isolation kits, as specifically applied to the isolation of RNA from biological sources. With certain complex biological samples purity of RNA can be poor, and recovery of intact RNA can be poor, especially when processing samples from a small number of cells, or when isolating RNA from certain challenging mammalian tissues, such as the pancreas, the spleen, or lung tissues.

[0009] Genomic DNA (gDNA) is a common contaminant of RNA isolations. Some commercially available RNA isolation kits provide a protocol for selective enzymatic removal of contaminating gDNA with Deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I). Treatment with DNase I occasionally results in a reduction of RNA yield and degradation of RNA by ribonucleases (RNases) that can contaminate commercially produced DNase I. DNase I treatment adds hands-on time, extends the length of time

required for the process, and requires the addition of metal ions which can interfere with downstream processes.

[0010] Therefore it is desirable to have an easy, rapid, safe method and device for removing gDNA from a sample, which does not add any significant time to the overall procedures being performed, does not produce dangerous wastes that require special disposal, and which produces isolated RNA that is substantially free of contaminants including proteins, lipids, gDNA, and any chemicals likely to inhibit or interfere with further processing or analysis of the isolated RNA. In addition, it is desirable to have an easy, rapid, safe and effective method for concentrating and isolating nucleic acids on a non-silica-based material but which provides better yields than the currently available non-silica-based methods.

SUMMARY

[0011] The present invention pertains to devices and methods for isolating nucleic acids. In particular, the invention is directed toward the isolation of total cellular RNA ("tcRNA"). Additionally, the invention relates to devices and methods for reducing gDNA in a biological sample without introducing harmful contaminants, and without significantly increasing the time required for the overall procedure being performed on a sample.

[0012] In one embodiment, the removal of a substantial amount of gDNA while maintaining RNA integrity in a sample is disclosed. This embodiment includes the use of a pre-filtration column that is packed with at least one layer of glass fibers or borosilicate fibers. This embodiment involves preparing a tissue/cell lysate preparation. The preparation is then introduced into the pre-filtration column. During passage of homogenate through the pre-filtration column, cellular contaminants, including gDNA, remains within the column while the effluent contains partially purified tcRNA. In one aspect, the use of the pre-filtration column can be used prior to subjecting the sample to further purification or downstream processes.

[0013] In another embodiment, a method for isolating nucleic acids from a complex sample matrix is disclosed. In a particular aspect of this invention, the

nucleic acid is RNA. This method involves disrupting the sample matrix using a chaotropic agent. Organic solvents can now be added to the samples in order to optimize subsequent processes, including tcRNA isolation. This preparation can then be introduced into a column of the present invention, for example, a silicon carbide column. In a particular aspect, the column is a silicon carbide whisker column ("SiCw"). Effluent will pass through the column and subsequent washes can assist in the elimination of contaminants from the column. Finally, the desired isolated nucleic acid product can be eluted from the column.

[0014] In another embodiment, nucleic acid is isolated using a pre-filtration column in conjunction with an isolation column like a SiCw or a "silica-based" column. In this embodiment, a tissue/cell lysate is prepared and subjected to pre-filtration. This pre-filtration step includes the use of a pre-filtration spin column. Examples of a pre-filtration spin column include, but are not limited to, a glass fiber column or a borosilicate column. In one aspect of this embodiment, gDNA remains within the pre-filtration column substrate while RNA flows through. Additionally, RNA in the effluent can be further treated with a DNase to degrade any DNA that might have not been completely removed during the pre-filtration step. The RNA-containing effluent can be subjected to an isolation column. The isolation column is employed to purify RNA from the effluent. Optionally, gDNA can be removed by DNase treatment of the RNA whilst captured within the isolation column. In any case, the RNA can finally be subsequently eluted in a small volume.

[0015] In yet another embodiment, the present invention pertains to a device that comprises silicon carbide. In a particular aspect, a SiCw column is employed as a nucleic acid binding column used to isolate nucleic acids from a sample matrix. In one aspect, the SiCw binds RNA.

[0016] In one embodiment of the present invention, an RNA isolation membrane column comprising an inlet and an outlet between which lies a chamber is disclosed. Within the chamber is a single or multiple layers of a polymeric membrane, examples of which are polysulfone, PVDF, BTS, nylon, nitrocellulose, PVP (poly(vinylpyrrolidone)) and composites thereof. This RNA isolation column further comprises

a retainer ring and a frit, which are both disposed about the membrane. The retainer ring is disposed proximal to the inlet, while the frit is disposed proximal to the outlet.

[0017] Methods for isolating RNA using the RNA isolation membrane column are also disclosed herein. A sample matrix is prepared. The sample matrix can comprise animal, plant tissue and cells. Tissue and/or cells can be obtained from an organism using methods well known to those skilled in the art. The preparation can be subjected to lysis, exposing and/or releasing the internal components of tissues and cells. In one aspect, the lysis preparation can be subjected to a prefiltration column. Preferably, the column should be centrifuged or have a vacuum applied to it to pass the lysate through the glass-fiber prefilter column, no “layers” are formed during this centrifugation (*i.e.*, no pellets, or phase separations). Following centrifugation, an alcohol can be added to the preparation. The alcohol containing preparations can then be loaded on to an RNA membrane isolation spin column of the present invention. The column comprises at least one polymeric membrane of, *e.g.*, MMM membrane. Once loaded, the columns are subjected to centrifugation. The flow through is disposed of and the spin columns are washed at least once. The RNA originated from the original sample matrix can then be eluted using nuclease-free water, or other benign low ionic strength solutions.

[0018] Another embodiment of the present invention is directed to a method of purifying cRNA using a spin column of the instant invention. In one aspect of this embodiment, the cRNA is labeled using, for example, a dye molecule such as cyanine, though other labels well known to those skilled in the art can be employed as well. The column of the present embodiment comprises a membrane that captures the labeled cRNA molecule. Impurities from the labeling reaction, and elsewhere, can be washed away subsequent to the cRNA capture using appropriate buffers.

[0019] A kit is also an embodiment of the present invention. The kit of the present invention comprises at least one pre-filtration column. In one aspect, the pre-filtration column is constructed using a fiber material, such as glass or borosilicate fibers. The kit also comprises at least one RNA isolation membrane column. Reagents are also part of the kit of the present embodiment. The reagents include at

least one organic solvent and at least one lysis buffer (such as described herein). Other reagents can be included with in the kit. Such reagents could include those used for washing the membrane-isolated RNA, to further effect removal of contaminants, or to reduce the concentration of lysis reagents carried over during the collection of the tcRNA. Instructions for a practitioner to practice the invention is also included.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- [0020] FIG. 1 is a schematic of the SiCw column of the present invention;
- [0021] FIG. 2 is a schematic of the pre-filter column of the present invention;
- [0022] FIG. 3 is an illustration of an RNA isolation column;
- [0023] FIG. 4 show the results obtained following a denaturing agarose gel of RNA isolated from pancreas;
- [0024] FIG. 5 is a plot showing the quantitative RT-PCR comparison between standard RNA using the present methods and RNA from Qiagen;
- [0025] FIG. 6 is a plot showing the quantitative RT-PCR comparison between standard RNA using the present methods and RNA from Qiagen with on-column DNase;
- [0026] FIG. 7 is a plot showing the quantitative RT-PCR comparison between standard RNAs using the present methods with on-column DNase;
- [0027] FIG. 8 is a bar graph showing RNA mass recoveries from various spin column membrane formats;
- [0028] FIG. 9 shows results obtained from an RNA isolation procedure using a variety of mammalian tissues and cell cultures and employing a variety of glass fiber type filters of the present invention, varying the number and type of layers;
- [0029] FIG. 10 shows the results obtained from the RNA isolation from plant tissues;
- [0030] FIG. 11 demonstrates the results of RNA isolation form plant tissue;
- [0031] FIG. 12 is a BioAnalyzer image of isolated RNA;
- [0032] FIG. 13 is a spin column of the present invention;
- [0033] FIG. 14 is a graphical representation of data obtained from using various columns to purify cRNA; and

[0034] FIG. 15 is an agarose gel containing cRNA prepared using various columns.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0035] The present invention pertains to devices and methods used for isolating nucleic acids. In particular, the invention is directed toward the isolation of tcRNA. In a related manner, the instant invention relates to devices and methods for reducing gDNA in a biological sample without introducing harmful contaminants, and without significantly increasing the time required for the overall procedure being performed on a sample.

[0036] The present invention pertains to methods for nucleic acid isolation from a complex sample matrix. In a particular aspect of this invention the nucleic acid is RNA. In a further aspect, the RNA is tcRNA. The biological sample of the present invention includes, but is not limited to, cells and tissue obtained from eukaryotic and prokaryotic sources, such as animals, plants and bacteria. In one aspect, the animal can be a mammal, and in a further aspect, the mammal can be a human. Additional samples are envisaged, for example, plants, yeast, fungi, and virus. In addition, the sample can originate from experimental protocols, for example, from a polymerase chain reaction or the product from enzymatic polymerization, nucleic acids present in a medium such as an agarose gel or alike. The sample matrix may be comprised of single-stranded or double-stranded nucleic acids, like single or double-stranded RNA and single or double stranded DNA. Modified nucleic acids are also encompassed and are within the scope of the present invention.

[0037] Pre-filtration methods and devices of the present invention not only remove gDNA contamination, but also simultaneously homogenize the sample. This simultaneous gDNA removal and homogenization is especially advantageous with those samples with which lysis and homogenization are not normally completed in a single step, such as with power homogenization. For example, cell cultures typically are lysed in a cell culture vessel or tube employing lysis solutions well known to those skilled in the art. Failure to subsequently homogenize the lysed cell culture sample can result in increased sample viscosity and reduced or variable RNA yields.

Therefore, the simultaneous lysis and homogenization provided by the present invention can eliminate the need for a separate homogenization step and the attendant problems if that homogenization step is not performed.

[0038] The methods of the instant invention result in intact and highly purified total cellular RNA (tcRNA). Purified tcRNA can be defined as that from which contaminants from the sample matrix and contaminants from the process are essentially completely removed. These contaminants include chaotropic and non-chaotropic salts, alcohols, gDNA, proteins, lipids, carbohydrates as well as other cellular debris. Assays to detect contaminants include, but are not limited to, electrophoretic and spectrophotometric methods and functional assays such as PCR or reverse transcription.

[0039] Generally, the first step in the isolation of nucleic acid is the disruption of the sample and lysing the cells contained therein, using methods well known to those skilled in the art. In one aspect of the present invention, the nucleic acid to be isolated is tcRNA. An example of high purity, intact RNA include methods described in Chomczynski, P., Sacchi, N., Single-step Method of RNA Isolation by Acid Guanidinium Thiocyanate-Phenol-Chloroform Extraction. *Anal.Biochem.* 1987 Apr; 162(1):156-9; and US Patent No. 4,843,155 to Chomczynski, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference. Additional methods include Chapter 2 (DNA) and Chapter 4 (RNA) of F. Ausubel et al., eds., *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1993), the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference.

[0040] Examples of lysis and organic extraction methods for isolating total RNA from various types of biological materials are found in *Molecular Cloning* by Sambrook, et al., 2nd edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, P. 7.3 et seq. (1989); *Protocols and Applications Guide* produced by Promega Corporation 3rd edition, p. 93 et seq. (1996); and by Chirgwin J.M. et al., 18 *Biochemistry* 5294(1979); and for a review of conventional techniques as well as silica-based techniques see US Patent No. 6,218,531, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference.

[0041] The present method includes use of one or more chaotropic salts. The chaotrope used can be, for example, guanidine, ammonium isothiocyanate, or guanidine hydrochloride. One skilled in the art will appreciate that other chaotropes can be used and remain within the scope of this invention. Typically, the concentration of the chaotrope ranges from about 0.5 M to about 5.0 M. Again, these concentrations can vary depending upon the sample matrix as well as other factors known to those skilled in the art. Chaotropic agents are used, for example, to denature proteins and to inhibit inter-molecular interactions, and importantly to inhibit the action of nucleases that can be present and may degrade the nucleic acid of interest. Monitoring nucleic acid integrity throughout the process can be performed by several methods, most commonly by electrophoretic methods and by RT-PCR assays.

[0042] A homogenate is formed upon disruption of the sample matrix and lysis of the cells contained therein by methods well known to those skilled in the art. This homogenate can be processed further.

[0043] Examples of further processing include US Patent No.'s 6,177,278 and 6,291,248 to Haj-Ahmad that describe the use of silicon carbide particles for nucleic acid isolation, all of which are incorporated herein in their entirety by reference. The nucleic acid isolation articulated by Haj-Ahmad involves the use of silicon carbide grit, a non-porous, irregularly shaped collection of particles of a relatively low specific surface area (m²/g).

[0044] As an alternative to silicon carbide, silica materials such as glass particles, glass powder, silica particles, glass microfibers, diatomaceous earth, and mixtures of these compounds are employed in combination with aqueous solutions of chaotropic salts to isolate nucleic acids.

[0045] In contrast to the methods disclosed by Haj-Ahmad, the methods of the present invention involve subjecting the lysis preparation to a pre-filtration spin column resulting in a clarified homogenate. The lysis solution preferably contains a chaotropic salt and/or additives to protect the target nucleic acid from degradation or reduced yield. In one aspect, the pre-filtration column is a glass fiber or borosilicate

fiber column. In one aspect, the fiber of the present invention is binder-free. An example of a binder-free fiber is "pure borosilicate." In another aspect, the fiber employed can comprise a binder. Binders can improve handling the solid-phase filtration material. Binders may also be present resulting from a process employed to modify the characteristics of a composite material. Such process elements should be selected by compatibility with optimum yield and purity of the target nucleic acid. Examples of such binders include, but are not limited to, acrylic, acrylic-like, or plastic-like substances. Although it can vary, typically binders represent 5% by weight of the fiber filter.

[0046] Another aspect of the present invention involves the addition of an organic solvent. For example, a low molecular weight alcohol such as ethanol, methanol or isopropanol in the range of about 50-80% by volume. The organic solvent improves the purity, and/or permits the high recovery of the target nucleic acid.

[0047] In the pre-filtration step, gDNA along with other contaminants remain within the spin column and the effluent will contain the desired RNA. Optionally, this effluent can be treated with a DNase to degrade any DNA that escaped the column ending up in the effluent.

[0048] The filter fiber material of the present invention demonstrates particle retention in the range of about 0.1 μm to about 10 μm diameter equivalent. The fiber of the present invention can have a thickness ranging from about 50 μm to about 2,000 μm . For example, a typical fiber filter has a thickness of about 500 μm total thickness. The specific weight of a fiber filter typically ranges from about 75 g/m² up to about 300 g/m². Multiple fiber layers are envisaged to be within the scope of this invention.

[0049] An example of a typical SiCw column of the present invention is shown in Figure 1. Shown in this figure is a spin column 20, having a frit 22 placed therein. Atop of this frit 22, silicon carbide whiskers 24 are introduced. A retainer ring 26 is placed adjacent to the bed of silicon carbide whiskers 24 in order to secure the material and prevent the silicon carbide whiskers from swelling excessively.

[0050] This silicon carbide whisker has a comparatively high specific surface area material for nucleic acid isolation. The SiCw used here are 3.9 m²/g and the Haj-

Ahmad material is 0.4 m²/g as measured by surface Nitrogen absorption. The whisker technology performs effectively for nucleic acid, particularly RNA, isolation from complex samples. An important distinction exists between the presently claimed method and that disclosed by Haj-Ahmad in that the RNA isolation process described by Haj-Ahmad does not result in intact or RNA, and there is no method for the removal of gDNA.

[0051] As previously mentioned, once the SiCw spin column has been loaded with sample, it can then be placed in a collection tube to be centrifuged, or placed on a vacuum manifold. The spin column is then centrifuged in a micro-centrifuge, or a vacuum is applied to the manifold, and the sample preparation passes through the SiCw filter in the spin column and into a collection chamber. Most of the nucleic acid, i.e., RNA and any gDNA not removed by the pre-filtration process, remains within the SiCw spin column. See Tables 5 and 6 below in the Examples section.

[0052] Optionally, subsequent steps following the addition of the sample preparation to the spin column can include washing and optional enzymatic treatments to remove contaminants. For example, such treatment can include the use of DNase, (DNase I or II). DNase treatment subsequent to sample binding will remove residual gDNA contamination, however, such treatment may not be necessary. While DNase I is the most commonly used DNase, DNase II could also be used. DNase II is isolated from spleen and has slightly different properties such as in its apparent molecular weight, optimal pH, and perhaps recognizes and cuts at different bases than DNase I which is isolated from pancreas. However, both enzymes are commercially available, in an RNase-depleted form, which is critical to ensure intact RNA following DNase digestion. If DNase treatment is used, after the homogenate is passed through the column, the column can be washed, for example, once with Wash Buffer #1 comprising a chaotropic salt, such as guanidine isothiocyanate, ammonium isothiocyanate and guanidine hydrochloride, at a concentration of at least 0.5 M and about 5% and up to about 10% of a low molecular weight alcohol such as methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, or alike and is buffered to a pH in the range of about pH 6 to about pH 9. For example, the RNase-free DNase in a buffered solution (pH between 6 and 9) containing calcium chloride and magnesium chloride (or sulfate or manganese chloride) is applied to the sample-bound substrate

and allowed to incubate for at least 5 minutes in temperatures ranging from about 25°C to about 37°C.

[0053] After this incubation, Wash Buffer #1 is applied to the homogenate in the column. The column is then centrifuged and/or a vacuum is applied to the column.

[0054] Following the wash with Wash Buffer #1, two subsequent washes can be performed using Wash Buffer #2 which comprises 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7 (Ambion, Austin, TX) and 70% ethanol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Typically, Wash Buffer #2 contains about 50% to about 80% of a low molecular weight alcohol, e.g., ethanol, methanol, isopropanol or alike, by volume.

[0055] As described supra, the washing solutions are removed either by centrifugation and/or vacuum removal. The centrifugation and/or vacuum procedures remove the majority of the alcohol from the column material.

[0056] If DNase digestion is not performed, after passing the sample through a filtration column, the column is washed at least once with Wash Buffer #1 before washing with Wash Buffer #2. Following the washes, the column is eluted. For example, if a SiCw column is used, the final step is the elution of the isolated, purified nucleic acid, e.g., tcrRNA, from the SiCw column. Solutions used to elute the SiCw column have generally low ionic strength, less than 100 mM, with a pH ranging from about 6.0 to about 8.5. Two examples of such solutions are 10 mM EDTA and 10 mM sodium citrate.

[0057] Additionally, a second round of isolation can occur. DNase digestion can be performed on the total elution from the SiCw (or other binding) column. Purification, including the washing steps, can then be done using a different column. When the DNase digestion is performed after elution, it can be done in the same collection tube, using the entire sample, or an aliquot can be removed. Typically the DNase reaction performed after elution uses fewer units of the DNase enzyme under similar buffer conditions. The post-elution DNase digestion can be done at 37°C for a shorter period of time than the 15 minutes used in the DNase digestion prior to elution. The reaction can then be terminated with EDTA, and the enzyme heat inactivated at, for example, 65°C, and/or subjected to additional cleanup procedures potentially including phenol/chloroform extractions or alike.

[0058] Figure 2 depicts a typical embodiment of a pre-filtration spin column 10 of the present invention. In a particular aspect of this invention, the pre-filtration column comprises at least one layer (in this figure, multiple layers) of fiber filter material 12 along with a retainer ring 14 that is disposed adjacent to a first surface of the fiber filter material which securely retain the layers of fiber filter material 12 so that they do not excessively swell when sample is added. Also depicted is a frit 16 that is disposed adjacent to a second surface of the fiber filter material 12. In one aspect, the frit 16 is composed of polyethylene of about 90 μm thick. The frit 16 assists in providing support so that the materials of the filter fibers 12 do not deform.

[0059] In a further aspect of the present invention, the effluent preparation is subjected to further purification using a filtration column such as a silicon carbide column, for example, the silicon carbide whisker column of the present invention (FIG. 1). Once a sample has been pre-filtered on the fiber filter of the present invention, it can be used in any subsequent procedure. The SiCw column with the homogenate disposed therein can then be placed in a collection tube in a centrifuge unit to be centrifuged and/or placed on a vacuum manifold. The silicon carbide whisker column can then be centrifuged in a micro-centrifuge (~2 min. at 16,000 x g) and/or a vacuum can be applied to the manifold, and the effluent passes through the SiCw filter into a collection chamber. Most of the target nucleic acid of interest remains bound to the silicon carbide whiskers. In a particular aspect, the nucleic acid of interest is RNA. And in a more particular aspect, the RNA is tcRNA.

[0060] The present invention also includes pre-packaged or individually available components of a kit for RNA isolation using the methods and devices of the present invention. A typical kit can include: packed fiber pre-filters with collection tubes; packed SiCw spin columns with collection tubes or a slurry of SiCw with plastic-ware for a practitioner to pack the spin column(s), or dry SiCw for the practitioner to slurry and pack; reagents including Wash Buffers #1 and #2, an alcohol such as ethanol and β -metcaptoethanol; and collection tubes for elution. Kits can also be prepared and assembled with DNase and/or Proteinase K, and the components comprising the kit can be obtained separately as well.

[0061] In one embodiment of the present invention, an RNA isolation column 30 comprising an inlet 32 and an outlet 34 between which lies a chamber 36 is disclosed.

Within the chamber is a single or multiple layers of a polymeric membrane 38, examples of which include polysulfone, PVP (Poly(vinylpyrrolidone)), MMM membrane (Pall Life Science), BTS, PVDF, nylon, nitrocellulose, and composites thereof. The membrane need not be polymeric. A retainer ring 40 and a frit 42 are disposed about the membrane 38. The retainer ring 40 is disposed proximal to the inlet 32, while the frit 42 is disposed proximal to the outlet 34.

[0062] An important aspect of the present invention is that polymeric membranes do not suffer from the limitations of silica-based columns such as solubility at elevated pH or irreversible absorption.

[0063] Using the RNA isolation membrane column 30 of the present invention, a practitioner can add a sample between 5 - 700 μ L per "loading" or "spinning" to the column 30 via the inlet 32. Prior to adding the sample to the column 30 of the present invention, the sample matrix can then be disrupted using, for example, a chaotropic agent and subjected to centrifugation. If desired, the practitioner can add one or more organic solvents to the preparation. For example, between 0.25 and 1 volume of 25-100% alcohol can be added and subjected to centrifugation. The membrane collects the precipitate and the "flow-through" that is collected in a collection tube during centrifugation can be readily disposed. Following these preliminary steps, the sample preparation can then be added to the RNA isolation membrane column 30. Effluent will pass through the column and subsequent washes using wash buffer #2 will facilitate the elimination of contaminants from the column. Through this process, the desired RNA will have precipitated out along one or more membrane 38 surfaces. The RNA can now be harvested using methods well known to those skilled in the art.

[0064] Optionally, the sample matrix can be subjected to a fiber prefiltration column (such as glass or borosilicate) prior to the addition of organic solvent, this can aid in the reduction of gDNA contamination compared to the methods of commercially available silica-based kits (see US patent application 10/631,189, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference). Further reduction of gDNA can be accomplished via an on-column DNase digestion. This digestion may be considered optional and is not necessary for reduction of gDNA. Quantitation of contaminating gDNA is accomplished through a direct quantitative PCR assay.

[0065] The advantages of this method include, but are not limited to, reduced sample preparation time due to fewer steps, reduced elution volume resulting in concentrated samples, and reduced genomic DNA (gDNA) contamination due to the pre-emptive removal of gDNA via, for example, using a glass-fiber prefiltration column. There are no toxic or caustic substances such as phenol or chloroform that are required in the RNA isolations of the present method, however, should a practitioner elect to employ such substances, one may do so and still be within the scope of the present invention.

[0066] Comparison of RNAs isolated using the present methods with those from using commercial methods, such as QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, part no. 74104) with and without optional on-column DNase digestion are shown in Tables 1-4. The data demonstrates that RNA isolated using the columns and methods of the present invention is of sufficient and equivalent quantity while also demonstrating reduced gDNA contamination. Furthermore, with difficult samples the present invention can result in RNA of increased quality. A denaturing agarose gel demonstrating this is in FIG. 4. RNAs isolated from pancreas using the present method or QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit with and without optional on-column DNase digestion are shown in FIG. 4. Lanes 1-3 are standards from the present method, 4-6 is the on-column DNase treated using the methods disclosed herein, 7-9 are Qiagen's standard, 10-12 are Qiagen's on-column DNase treated samples. Low molecular-weight smears in the lanes containing RNA resulting from the QIAGEN methods are indicative of degradation. In the lanes containing RNA resulting from the present method, there are no low molecular-weight smears and the ribosomal RNA bands are in the characteristic 28S:18S ratio of 2:1, respectively.

Table 1: Typical yields from Mouse Pancreas Spleen and Thymus (Pel Freez, Rogers, AR) using 0.8 μ m MMM columns and QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit with associated on-column DNase digestion protocols.

Yield (μ g tcRNA/ mg tissue)

| | A_{260} | | | |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Invention | | QIAGEN | |
| | Std. (- DNase) | + DNase | Std. (- DNase) | + DNase |
| Pancreas | 12.5 μ g/mg | 11.5 μ g/mg | 13 μ g/mg | 12.8 μ g/mg |
| Thymus | 3.2 μ g/mg | 3.1 μ g/mg | 2.4 μ g/mg | 2.8 μ g/mg |
| Spleen | 4.3 μ g/mg | 4.4 μ g/mg | 3.4 μ g/mg | 3.2 μ g/mg |

Table 2: Typical Purity from Mouse Pancreas Spleen and Thymus (Pel Freez, Rogers, AR) using 0.8 μ m MMM columns and QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit with associated on-column DNase digestion protocols.

Purity (pg gDNA/ ng sample)

gDNA contamination
(quantitative direct PCR assay)

| | Invention | | QIAGEN | |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Std. (- DNase) | + DNase | Std. (- DNase) | + DNase |
| Pancreas | 1.4 x 10^{-3} | 1.7 x 10^{-4} | 5.2 x 10^{-1} | 6.4 x 10^{-2} |
| Thymus | 2.7 x 10^1 | 3.1 x 10^{-1} | 2.9x 10^2 | 1.3 x 10^2 |
| Spleen | 8.3 x 10^{-1} | 1.8 x 10^{-1} | 9.2 x 10^1 | 2.7 x 10^0 |

Table 3: Typical yields from various frozen mouse tissues (Pel Freez, Rogers, AR) using 0.8 μ m MMM columns and QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit.

| | Purity | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | gDNA Contamination | | | |
| | (quantitative direct PCR assay) | | | |
| | Low Load | | High Load | |
| | Inven tion | QIA GE N | Inve ntion | QIA GEN |
| Brain (2.5, 30 mg) | 1.2 x 10 ⁰ | 1.1 x 10 ² | 1.6 x 10 ⁰ | 7.2 x 10 ⁰ |
| Liver (2.5, 30 mg) | 2.8 x 10 ⁻² | 1.3 x 10 ¹ | 1.3 x 10 ⁻¹ | 3.7 x 10 ⁻¹ |
| Kidney (2.5, 30 mg) | 2.1 x 10 ⁻¹ | 5.5 x 10 ¹ | 8.9 x 10 ⁻¹ | 1.5 x 10 ⁰ |
| Spleen (2.5, 15 mg) | 2.1 x 10 ⁻¹ | 1.9 x 10 ² | 2.0 x 10 ⁻¹ | 4.2 x 10 ¹ |
| HeLa (cells) (5 x 10 ⁵ , 4 x 10 ⁶) | 6.8 x 10 ⁻² | 6.8 x 10 ¹ | 1.9 x 10 ⁰ | 1.2 x 10 ¹ |

Table 4: Typical purity using 8-Layer glass-fiber prefiltration column and subsequent isolation using 0.8 μ m MMM columns and QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit.

| | Yield | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | A ₂₆₀ | | | |
| | Low Load | | High Load | |
| | Inve ntio n | QIA GE N | Inve ntio n | QIA GEN |
| Brain (2.5, 30 mg) | 0.6 μ g/ mg | 0.6 μ g/ mg | 0.8 μ g/ mg | 0.8 μ g/ mg |
| Liver (2.5, 30 mg) | 4.6 μ g/ mg | 5 μ g/ mg | 4.5 μ g/ mg | 4.6 μ g/ mg |
| Kidney (2.5, 30 mg) | 2.3 μ g/ mg | 2.9 μ g/ mg | 2.7 μ g/ mg | 2.7 μ g/ mg |
| Spleen (2.5, 15 mg) | 3.1 μ g/ mg | 2.5 μ g/ mg | 3.7 μ g/ mg | 2.1 μ g/ mg |
| HeLa (cells) (5 x 10 ⁵ , 4 x 10 ⁶) | 13.8 μ g/ 10 ⁶ | 22.8 μ g/ 10 ⁶ | 15.5 μ g/ 10 ⁶ | 16 μ g/ 10 ⁶ |

[0067] Reduction of gDNA contamination is important in many molecular biological assays, in particular, quantitative RT-PCR. RT-PCR is generally a two-step reaction or assay in which the first step is the generation of a cDNA template via a reverse transcriptase reaction. The second step is the PCR generated by a DNA polymerase such as Taq Polymerase. Genomic DNA contamination results in increased background in quantitative RT-PCR applications. This is indicated by a signal from the negative control sample. Signal from this sample results from gDNA contamination, as there is no cDNA template generated due to the omission of reverse transcriptase in the generation of template samples (thus, -RT reaction). Signal from “+RT” samples may be generated by either the cDNA or the contaminating gDNA. Thus, the “-RT” sample is important to quantitate the signal generated by the contaminating gDNA.

[0068] Figures 4-6 represent the output of a quantitative PCR from various spleen RNAs using the ABI 7000 and associated software. Results from the RNAs isolated with the columns and method of the present invention and the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini kit are shown in FIG. 5. The background of the reaction from isolated RNA using the columns and methods of the present invention is significantly lower than the background of the reaction from QIAGEN isolated RNA. Background of the QIAGEN samples can be reduced to the level of the present method samples using an on-column DNase digestion according to manufacturers instructions. These results are shown in FIG. 6. Background from RNA of the present method can be further reduced with on-column DNase digestion (see FIG. 7).

[0069] The mechanism of RNA isolation is via precipitation. RNA, either in a purified or semi-purified (following prefiltration) form or in a complex biological sample, will precipitate in the presence of guanidine and ethanol. This precipitate can be collected via, for example, centrifugation. The RNA isolation membrane column of the present invention facilitates the collection of the RNA precipitate, washing of the collected precipitate (reduced wash volumes and centrifugation times) and re-suspension and elution of the target nucleic acid.

[0070] Although the membrane material plays a passive role, acting as a physical barrier to the precipitate, the nature of the polymeric material is important for efficient precipitate collection and to reduce absorptive losses. For example, comparison of various pore sizes of membranes results in changes in the mass recovery of RNA. Similarly, comparison of membranes prepared from different polymeric constituents also varies the mass recovery of RNA.

[0071] To illustrate how membrane pore size relates to the variability of the mass of RNA recovered, a mouse spleen was homogenized and passed through a glass-fiber prefiltration column. An aliquot, 250 μ L (12.5 mg), of this prefiltered homogenate was mixed with 250 μ L of 70% ethanol and then loaded onto 4 different types of spin columns: (i) 0.8 μ m MMM (composite of polysulfone and PVP (poly(vinyl-pyrrolidone))), (ii) 0.8 μ m BTS (polysulfone with a hydroxypropylcellulose treatment), (iii) 0.1 μ m MMM, and (iv) 0.1 μ m BTS. After washing and drying said spin columns, the total RNA was eluted off each column using 50 μ L of water. The recovery of RNA in the eluates was quantified by measuring absorbance at 260 nm using an Agilent model 8453 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. Figure 8 is a graphic representation of the total RNA yields derived from the O.D. 260 readings. The first two columns in FIG. 8 (data also presented in Table 10 *infra*) show that the MMM membrane has a distinct advantage over the BTS membrane, each of which are constructed of different polymeric materials, in the mass of RNA recovered. Columns 2, 3 and 4 show that the pore size of the membranes must be optimized for optimal performance as well.

[0072] Complementary RNA (“cRNA”) also known as aRNA (amplified RNA), molecules can be synthesized and used as hybridization probes used to detect targets (usually DNA) in, for example, a microarray system. The preparation of cRNA molecules is well known to those skilled in the art. (See, for example, Agilent Technologies’ “Low RNA Input Fluorescent Linear Amplification Kit,” (2003) version 1.1, product # 5184-3523, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference.) During the cRNA synthesis, label is incorporated into the cRNA

molecule, for example, a fluorescent label such as cyanine or can be attached later to the cRNA molecule by many different enzymatic methods.

[0073] The labeled cRNA can be purified away from the impurities of the synthetic reaction as well as from other sources. By purification it is understood that the cRNA is from about 55% to about 65% pure. In another aspect, it is understood that the cRNA is from about 65% to about 75% pure. In yet another aspect it is understood that the cRNA is from about 75% to about 85% pure. In still another aspect, it is understood that the cRNA is from about 85% to about 95% or greater pure.

[0074] Once the cRNA molecule is synthesized, with or without a label molecule, it can be purified from contaminants using a cRNA isolation column of the present invention. The cRNA isolation column 44 of the present embodiment comprises a removable cap 46 that can be inserted onto the spin tube body 2 thus occluding only the entry orifice. See, FIG. 13. The column 44 further comprises a retainer ring 50 that assists in securing a membrane 52 into position adjacent to a frit 54. In one aspect, the membrane is an MMM membrane. In one aspect, the column comprises an asymmetric membrane made up of alloys of polysulfone and Polyvinylpyrrolidone. MMM membrane has 30-40 μm diameter pores on an upper side and 0.6 μm diameter pores on the lower side (the upper side of the membrane is in contact with the retainer ring 50, the lower side is in contact with the frit 54). The column membrane captures the cRNA thus allowing the contaminants to be washed away using appropriate buffers. Following this washing step(s), the cRNA can be eluted from the column in a purified form.

[0075] The present method comprises adding a predetermined amount of nuclease free-water to the cRNA sample. In one aspect, around 20 μL of nuclease free-water is added to bring the total volume up to around 100 μL . To this is added approximately 350 μL of Stabilization Solution (see p 23 of this patent app.) and the mixture is mixed thoroughly. To this mixture, approximately 250 μL ethanol (~96-100% purity) is added and mixed thoroughly by, for example, pipet mixing. Transfer

approximately 700 μ L of the cRNA sample to a cRNA isolation column of the present invention, for example, a MMM column. The sample can now be centrifuged for about 30 seconds at around 13,000 rpm. The flow-through can be discarded. About 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #3 (see p 23 of this patent app.) can be added to the column. The sample can once again be centrifuged for about 30 seconds at around 13,000 rpm. The flow-through can be discarded. Again, about 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #3 (see p 23 of this patent app.) can be added to the column. The sample can once again be centrifuged for about 30 seconds at around 13,000 rpm. The flow through can be discarded. The cRNA sample can be eluted at this point. Preferably employing a new collection tube (\sim 1.5 mL), approximately 30 μ L of RNase-free water can be added to the column. After a sufficient time, for example, 1 minute, the sample can be centrifuged for about 60 seconds at approximately 13,000 rpm. This time it is important to collect and save the flow-through. One may repeat this step again by adding approximately 30 μ L of RNase-free water to the column and proceed as before. The collected flow-through can be stored at this point at about -80°C . The cRNA product can be quantitated using techniques well known to those skilled in the art (see, Agilent Technologies' Amplification Kit manual).

[0076] A kit is also an embodiment of the present invention. The kit of the present invention comprises at least one pre-filtration column. In one aspect, the pre-filtration column is constructed using a fiber material, such as glass or borosilicate fibers. The kit also comprises at least one RNA isolation membrane column. The membrane in this RNA isolation column can include, without limitation, BTS, PVDF, nylon, nitrocellulose, polysulfone, MMM, PVP, and composites thereof. Reagents are also part of the kit of the present embodiment. The reagents include at least one organic solvent and at least one lysis buffer (such as described herein). Other reagents can be included with in the kit. Instructions for a practitioner to practice the invention is also included.

[0077] Another kit is also an embodiment of the present invention. This kit comprises at least one cRNA isolation membrane column. The membrane in this cRNA isolation column can include, without limitation, BTS, PVDF, nylon,

nitrocellulose, polysulfone, MMM, PVP, and composites thereof. Reagents are also part of the kit of the present embodiment. The reagents include at least one organic solvent and at least one stabilization buffer (such as described herein). Other reagents can be included with in the kit. Instructions for a practitioner to practice the invention is also included.

EXAMPLES

Example 1: Component Preparation

(a) Silicon Carbide Whisker column Preparation

[0078] Silicon Carbide whiskers were obtained from Surmet (Buffalo, NY) and slurried in an aqueous solution. A spin-column device (Orochem, Westmont, IL) was placed on a vacuum manifold and a polyethylene frit of about 7 μm in pore size (Porex Corp., Fairburn, GA) was placed in the spin column device. A slurry of SiCw was placed in the spin column and vacuum was applied. The column was allowed to dry slightly with vacuum. A plastic retainer ring was placed on the bed of silicon carbide whiskers to secure the spin column.

Fiber filter Column Preparation

[0079] In this particular example, Whatman GF/F Glass Fiber Filters (cat no. 1825-915) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Atlanta, GA). Multiple layers (of the large sheets or disks supplied) were punched with a 9/32" hand punch (McMaster-Carr, Chicago, IL) to form the pre-filters of the present invention, and placed into a spin column (Orochem, Westmont, IL) fitted with a 90 μm polyethylene frit (Porex Corp., Fairburn, GA) on which the fibers rest. The filter materials were secured in the column with a firmly-placed retainer ring on top of the filter materials to prevent excessive swelling of the fibers (Orochem, Westmont, IL). For example, see FIG. 2.

Reagent Preparation

[0080] The following solutions were prepared or obtained from commercial sources for use in the procedures performed in the remaining example below. All reagents prepared were prepared in nuclease-free H₂O and stored at room temperature except for the Lysis Solution, DNase I and Proteinase K. The Lysis Solution with β -Mercaptoethanol was stored at 4° C. DNase I and Proteinase K were stored at -20° C.

Lysis Buffer/Solution Stock:

4 M Guanidine Thiocyanate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)

25 mM Tris, pH 7 (Ambion, Austin, TX)

To make a working solution, β -Mercaptoethanol was added to a concentration of
143 mM.

Stabilization Solution

0.2 to 5 M Guanidine Thiocyanate, 10 to 150 mM Tris, pH 6 to 8.

[0081] Wash Buffer #1:

from about 0.2 to about 2 M, *e.g.*, 1 M Guanidine Thiocyanate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) 25 mM Tris, pH from about 6 to about 9, *e.g.*, 7 (Ambion, Austin, TX)
from about 5 to about 25% ethanol, *e.g.*, 10% ethanol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)

[0082] Wash Buffer #2:

Twenty-five mM Tris, pH from about 6 to about 9, *e.g.*, 7 (Ambion, Austin, TX) from about 40 to about 90% ethanol, *e.g.*, 70% ethanol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)

[0083] Wash Buffer #3:

Five to 250 mM Tris, pH from about 6 to about 9, from about 40 to about 90% ethanol.

[0084] QIAGEN® reagents:

RLT, RW1, RPE buffers were prepared according to manufacturer's instructions (RNeasy Mini Kit, part no. 74104, Valencia, CA).

[0085] DNase I:

RNase-free DNase I was obtained from Ambion, Austin TX. Proteinase K was obtained from Fermentas, Hanover, MD.

[0086] DNase digestion buffer 10X:

1M Tris pH 8 (Ambion, Austin, TX)

100 mM MgSO₄ (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)

100 mM CaCl₂ (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)

1 mg/mL Bovine Serum Albumin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)

[0087] Elution Buffer:

Three examples of such elution solutions are 10 mM EDTA and 10 mM sodium citrate, pH ranging from 6 to 9 as well as free-nuclease water.

[0088] Example 2: RNA isolation from mouse tissues

[0089] The experiments below describe side by side RNA Isolations from a variety of mouse tissues and cells, the RNA was isolated using a SiCw column (FIG. 1). RNA was assayed with the RNA 6000 Nano Assay (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, part no. 5065-4476) on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, part no. G2938B) as per Manufacturer's instructions. Figure 9 and Table 5 show composite results from multiple assays – *i.e.*, for FIG. 9, not all the assays shown were run on the same chip. The legend for interpreting FIG. 9 is L: Ladder, Ambion RNA 6000 Ladder (Part Number 7152), lanes 1-2: Brain RNA isolated with SiCw column, lanes 3-4: Liver RNA isolated with SiCw column, lanes 5-6: Kidney RNA isolated with SiCw column, lanes 7-8: Pancreas RNA isolated with SiCw column, and lanes 9-10: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column. Table 5 is a summary of the resulting RNA yields.

[0090] Mouse organs that were quick frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvest were obtained from Pel-Freez Biologicals (Rogers, AR). Alternatively, mouse organs can be used immediately after harvest, or preserved in a solution of RNALater (Ambion, Austin, TX).

[0091] Samples were weighed and power-homogenized in excess Lysis Solution (Applicants' method) having a pH in the range of about 4 to about 8 (typically 20-fold excess) at 15,000 rpm for 30 seconds using an OMNI TH tissue homogenizer (Omni, Inc, Warrenton, VA).

[0092] Cell lines were obtained from American Type Tissue Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA 20108) and grown according to instructions provided. (See Table 5.) Cells were trypsinized to detach from the culture vessel, resuspended and counted with a hemocytometer. The suspension was then centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 10 minutes. The resulting pellet was resuspended in Lysis solution for a final concentration of 8.3×10^6 cells/mL and vigorously vortex mixed for 1 min. Alternatively cells may be lysed in the cell culture vessel.

[0093] Tissue or cell homogenate (typically 300-600 μ L) was added to a glass-fiber pre-filter in a spin column and centrifuged for 3 min. at 16,000 x g in an Eppendorf 5415D microcentrifuge (Brinkman, Westbury, NY).

[0094] An equal volume of 70% ethanol was added to each of the tissue homogenates and mixed. Spin columns containing 15 mg of silicon carbide whiskers (SiCw) were placed in capless 2 mL collection tubes, and the ethanol-containing homogenates were added to the spin columns. The spin columns were then spun for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. The flow-through was decanted from the collection tubes and the spin columns placed back in the collection tubes.

[0095] The spin columns were then washed with 500 μ L Wash Buffer #1 and centrifuged for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. Following an extended centrifugation, the spin column could be subjected to DNase digestion. When DNase digestion was not performed, each spin column was then centrifuged twice for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g with the addition of 500 and 250 μ L of Wash Buffer #2. The spin columns were then centrifuged for 2 min. at 16,000 x g to remove the final traces of Wash Buffer #2. The spin columns were removed from the 2 mL collection tubes and placed into 1.5 mL nuclease-free microfuge tubes.

[0096] RNA was eluted twice with 50 μ L nuclease-free water, centrifuging 15 sec. and 2 min. respectively. RNA can then be stored at -20° C or -70° C.

[0097] Absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm was measured on an Agilent Technologies 8453 UV/VIS spectrophotometer to confirm the presence of eluted RNA.

[0098] RNA obtained in the experiments was assayed with the RNA 6000 Nano Assay (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) per manufacturer's instructions, as show in the software generated images in FIG. 9.

[0099] As can be seen in Table 5 and FIG. 9, RNA purified using the methods and devices of the present invention is of high yield, purity and integrity.

Table 5: Yields of RNA using SiCw column (see Examples 2 and 3)

| <u>Isolation of tcRNA from</u> <u>Cultured Cells and Mouse Tissues</u> | | |
|---|--|------------------------|
| | μ g tcRNA/ 10 ⁵ cells or mg tissue | A_{260nm}/ A_{280nm} |
| HEK 293 | 24 | 2.0 |
| HeLa S3 | 7.3 | 1.9 |
| NIH 3T3 | 14.8 | 2.3 |

| | | |
|----------|------|-----|
| Brain | 0.6 | 1.8 |
| Liver | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Kidney | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Pancreas | 12.3 | 2.0 |
| Spleen | 4.7 | 2.1 |

[00100] Example 3: The experiment below describes side by side RNA Isolations using a variety of glass fiber type filter materials.

[00101] For pre-filtration devices and the attendant methods, a variety of 16-layer glass fiber filter types were constructed. Whatman Types GF/F (cat no. 1825-915) and GF/D (part number 1823-150) were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Atlanta, GA). Pall Life Sciences Types A/B (part number 66211) and A/D (part number 66227) were obtained from VWR (Pittsburg, PA). For those samples on which DNase digestion was performed, the on-column DNase digestion methods outlined below were used.

[00102] Subsequent purification of the samples was performed by either a silica-based method of QIAGEN® per manufacturer's instructions, or by silicon carbide whisker methods and devices of the instant invention. In addition, on-column DNase digestion methods were used. The resulting RNAs were assayed with the Agilent Technologies' RNA 6000 Nano assay (part no. 5065-4476) on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (part no. G2938B, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) as per manufacturer's instructions. Figure 9 is the software-generated results of the electrophoresis assay results.

[00103] Mouse spleens that were quick frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvest were obtained from Pel-Freez Biologicals (Rogers, AR). Spleen tissue was selected for the examples shown herein because spleen tissue is one of the most difficult tissues from which to isolate RNA due to the large amounts of gDNA present in the spleen. Spleen RNA was isolated, following pre-filtration, using silicon carbide whiskers (SiCw) and/or silica-based (QIAGEN) isolation methods.

[00104] Samples were weighed and power-homogenized in excess Lysis Buffer (presented above) having a pH in the range of about 4 to about 8, or RLT (typically 20-fold excess) from QIAGEN and subjected to 15,000 rpm for 30 seconds using an OMNI TH tissue homogenizer (Omni, Inc, Warrenton, VA).

[00105] Tissue homogenate (typically about 300-600 μ L) was pre-treated for use in a QIAGEN column by centrifuging for 3 min. at 16,000 x g, or pre-treated for use in a SiCw column by adding it to a glass fiber pre-filter of the present invention and then centrifuged for 3 min. at 16,000 x g in an Eppendorf 5415D microcentrifuge (Brinkman, Westbury, NY).

[00106] An equal volume of 70% ethanol was added to each of the tissue homogenates and mixed. The ethanol-containing homogenates were then added to either a mini spin-column from QIAGEN's RNeasy Mini Kit (Valencia, CA, part no. 74104) or to a SiCw spin-column described herein.

[00107] The spin columns were then centrifuged for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. The effluent from each was collected in and decanted from 2 mL collection tubes and the spin columns were placed back in the collection tubes.

[00108] The spin columns were then washed with 700 μ L RW1 (QIAGEN RNeasy column) or 700 μ L Wash Buffer #1 and centrifuged for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. For those samples using the SiCw spin column, the SiCw spin column contents were then either subjected to DNase digestion as described below or washed with Wash Buffer #2 as described below.

[00109] For those columns not subjected to DNase digestion, the columns were centrifuged twice as described above (for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g) with the addition of 500 μ L (1st time) and 250 μ L (2nd time) of RPE (a QIAGEN RNeasy column buffer) or Wash Buffer #2. The second centrifugation was extended to 2 min.

at 16,000 x g to remove the final traces of RPE or Wash Buffer #2. Each of the columns were then removed from its 2 mL collection tube and placed into a 1.5 mL nuclease free microfuge tube. RNA was eluted twice using 50 μ L nuclease-free H₂O. RNA was then stored at or -70°C.

[00110] As noted above, for example, before the addition of Wash Buffer #2, samples can be optionally subjected to DNase treatment. For those columns that were subjected to DNase treatment, DNase I was diluted to a concentration of 0.5 μ g/ μ L in 100 μ L final volume in 1X DNase buffer and applied to the SiCw column. (Note that the methods of the present invention described herein use low salt concentrations in the DNase buffer, for example, as low as 100 mM, whereas most conventional methods require a high salt concentration - for example, up to as much as 1M NaCl is used in some commercial DNase buffers for on-column digestion.) The methods of the instant invention do not use salt to increase ionic strength or retain binding because DNase is very sensitive to high ionic concentrations (note the reagents listed in Example 1, *supra*), for example, only 100 mM CaCl₂ was used in the present example. The column was then incubated for 15 min. at 25°C. The digestion was terminated by the addition of 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #1 and centrifuging for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. Washing with Wash Buffer #2 was performed and then the elution was performed as described above after the final traces of Wash buffer #2 were removed. Samples on which the QIAGEN method was used were not subjected to DNase digestion.

[00111] Genomic DNA contamination was quantified using a 5' nuclease assay, or "real-time" PCR assay, run on the Applied Biosystems Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). This type of assay monitors the amount of PCR product that accumulates with every PCR cycle. This is a highly sensitive and reproducible assay for the detection of PCR product.

[00112] Isolated tcRNA (~20 ng) from mouse mouse spleen was added to a reaction mixture containing primers and probe specific for mouse (Genbank Accession NM-008084) glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). All

samples were run in a reaction mixture consisting of both primers at 500 nM, fluorescent probe at 200 nM, and 1X Taqman Universal Master Mix (part #43044437) in conditions well known to those skilled in the art. Serial dilutions of mouse genomic DNA (Promega, Madison WI) were used for the generation of a standard curve. All samples, standards and no-template controls were run in duplicate.

[00113] The mouse GAPDH assay amplified a 78 base-pair fragment within an exon. The GAPDH assay primers and probe were designed using the Primer Express software package (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, part no. 4329442). The primers were desalted and the probe (5' labeled with 6-FAM and 3' labeled with BHQ-1) was purified by anion exchange followed by reverse phase HPLC (Biosearch Technologies, Novato, CA).

[00114] The assay cycling parameters for both assays were the default conditions set by the manufacturer, *i.e.*, 50°C for 2 min., 95°C for 10 min., then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec. to 60°C for 1 min. Quantification of gDNA in the isolated tcRNA was calculated from the mouse gDNA standard curve.

[00115] Table 6 shows the results of the quantitative PCR assay demonstrating the reduction of gDNA via pre-filtration and/or on-column DNase digestion, in various combinations of centrifugation only, pre-filtration, DNase digestion, QIAGEN methods, and the SiCw methods of the present invention. Table 7 lists the RNA yields and gDNA contamination of the spleen tcRNA experiments shown in FIG. 9. Yields are not shown for samples with high gDNA contamination. Levels of gDNA were determined by the real time PCR assay described in above example.

[00116] Figure 10 illustrates the high levels of gDNA contamination detected in the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano assay, as seen in lanes 1-3, 10-12, 16-18, and 19-21 vs. the low levels for example in lanes 4-6. The legend for FIG 10 is L: Ladder, Ambion RNA 6000 Ladder (part no. 7152), lanes 1-3: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column from cleared (centrifuged) homogenate, 4-6: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column from GF/F pre-filtered homogenate, 7-9: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column from GF/F pre-filtered homogenate and subjected to on-column DNase digestion, 10-

12: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column from GF/D pre-filtered homogenate, 13-15: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column from A/B pre-filtered homogenate, 16-18: Spleen RNA isolated with SiCw column from A/D pre-filtered homogenate, 19-21: Spleen RNA isolated with RNeasy mini column from cleared (centrifuged) homogenate, and 22-24: Spleen RNA isolated with RNeasy column from GF/F pre-filtered.

[00117] This assay clearly demonstrates that only particular types of fiber and filters effectively remove gross gDNA contamination. For example, using the Whatman GF/F filter material proved most effective, as shown in lanes 4, 5, and 6 where there is very little gDNA contamination in the final eluted samples and no DNase digestion was performed. Similarly, in lanes 22, 23, and 24 in which the QIAGEN procedures were augmented by the pre-filtration methods of the present invention with glass fiber filters, there is significantly less gDNA contamination than is present in all of the other samples/lanes in which QIAGEN procedures were used.

[00118] In contrast, lanes 10- 12 and 16-18 have considerably more gDNA contamination. Lanes 10-12 used a filter material having a particle-retention of about 3 μm , and lanes 16-18 used a filter material having a particle-retention of about 1 μm , while the filter material used for lanes 4, 5, and 6 and the filter material used for lanes 22, 23, and 24 had a particle retention of about 0.7 μm . Therefore, filter type, composition and performance must be optimized.

[00119] Referring to Table 6 and FIG. 10, it can be observed from lanes 1-3, 10-12, 16-18 and 19-21 without DNase digestion, there is a great deal of gDNA contamination that the RNA quantification was essentially meaningless. However, it can be observed from the results of lanes 4-6 and 22-24 that the pre-filtration methods and devices of the present invention results in essentially negligible gDNA contamination. In fact, the pre-filtration resulted in approximately the same RNA yields as those obtained with DNase treatment, without having to perform DNase treatment and without having a significant amount of gDNA present after pre-filtration than remains after DNase treatment. Compare the RNA yields and gDNA amounts of lanes 4-6 which use the pre-filtration methods and devices of the current

invention to those of lanes 7-9 which use the pre-filtration methods in combination with traditional DNase treatment. While somewhat more gDNA is removed using the combination of pre-filtration and DNase digestion, the methods and devices of the present invention alone removes substantially all of the gDNA and allows a practitioner to avoid DNase treatment if desired for a particular application.

[00120] With respect to the QIAGEN procedures, the DNase digestion used with those procedures are described by Promega and the protocols can be found in the QIAGEN kits. However, use of DNase digestion is always dependent on the end use application. For example, even with the QIAGEN kits DNase digestion is probably unnecessary for Northern hybridizations. Therefore, whether or not DNase digestion is used or needed depends on the end application for which the RNA is being isolated.

[00121] Thus it has been shown that the methods and devices of the present invention effectively remove gDNA from a sample from which RNA is being isolated, can avoid the necessity of DNase digestion (yet are compatible with DNase digestion if necessary), and function with commercial RNA isolation kits (for example QIAGEN's RNeasy kit), especially silica-based kits, to enhance their effectiveness.

Table 6: Illustrates yields of RNA and amounts of gDNA contamination of the tcRNA isolated and shown in FIG. 9.

| Lane in Fig. 2 | <u>Reduction of gDNA with pre-filtration</u> <u>Spleen RNA Isolations</u> | |
|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| | tcRNA/ mg tissue | pg gDNA/ ng tcRNA |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----|-------|
| SiCw Spleen Centrifuged | 1-3 | NA | 344 |
| SiCw Spleen GF/F | 4-6 | 4.7 | 3.48 |
| SiCw Spleen GF/F and DNase | 7-9 | 4.9 | 0.12 |
| SiCw Spleen GF/D | 10- 12 | NA | 218.2 |
| SiCw Spleen A/B | 13- 15 | 3.3 | 87.83 |
| SiCw Spleen A/D | 16- 18 | NA | 302 |
| QIAGEN Spleen Centrifuged | 19- 21 | NA | 190 |
| QIAGEN Spleen GF/F | 22- 24 | 4.5 | 1.42 |

[00122] Example 4: Mouse liver homogenate

[00123] Mouse liver homogenates were prepared as described herein and used for RNA isolations. The addition of 70% ethanol to the filtered homogenate, as described in Example 2, was substituted with equal volumes of RNase-free water, 70% isopropanol or methanol. The mixture was added to a SiCw spin-column, and RNA isolation continued as described herein.

[00124] Absorbance at 260 nm and 280nm was measured on an Agilent Technologies 8453 UV/VIS spectrophotometer to confirm the presence of eluted RNA.

[00125] The results of these experiments are shown in Table 7.

[00126] Table 7: Yields of RNA

| [00127] | | [00128] <u>Increasing Yield with</u> | | [00129] <u>Addition of</u> | |
|---------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| | | [00130] <u>Organic Solvent</u> | | [00131] <u>Liver RNA Isolations</u> | |
| [00132] | | [00133] g/ mg tissue | | [00134] A_{260nm}/A_{280nm} | |
| [00135] | | [00136] | | [00137] | |
| [00138] | Homogenate | [00139] | 2.0 | [00140] | 2.0 |
| [00141] | Homogenate + | [00142] | 2.0 | [00143] | 2.0 |
| [00144] | Homogenate + | [00145] | 2.0 | [00146] | 2.0 |
| [00147] | Homogenate + | [00148] | 2.0 | [00149] | 2.0 |
| [00150] | Homogenate + | [00151] | 2.0 | | |

[00152] Example 5: RNA isolation from plant tissues

[00153] Arabidopsis leaves were weighed and power-homogenized in excess Lysis Buffer at 15,000 rpm for 30 seconds using an OMNI TH tissue homogenizer (Omni, Inc, Warrenton, VA). Leaf tissue can also be frozen after harvest and homogenized as above.

[00154] Tissue homogenate (typically about 300-600 μ L) was pre-treated by centrifuging for 2 min. at 16,000 x g, or by adding it to a glass fiber pre-filter of the present invention and then centrifuging for 2 min. at 16,000 x g in an Eppendorf 5415D microcentrifuge (Brinkman, Westbury, NY).

[00155] An equal volume of 70% ethanol (an example of a binding enhancer) was added to each of the tissue homogenates and mixed. The spin columns were then centrifuged for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. The effluent from each was collected in and decanted from each 2 mL collection tube and the spin columns placed back in the collection tubes.

[00156] The spin columns were then washed using 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #1 and centrifuged for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g. Each one of the columns was then centrifuged twice as described above for at least 10 sec. at 16,000 x g with the addition of 500 μ L (1st time) and 250 μ L (2nd time) of Wash Buffer #2. The second centrifugation was extended to 2 min at 16,000 x g to remove the final traces of Wash Buffer #2. Each of the columns was then removed from its 2 mL collection tube and placed into a 1.5 mL microfuge tube. RNA was eluted twice using 50 μ l nuclease-free H₂O. RNA was then stored at -20°C or -70°C.

[00157] The results of the plant tissue RNA isolation are shown in FIG. 11. Resulting RNAs were assayed with the Agilent Technologies' RNA 6000 Nano assay (part no. 5065-4476) on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (part no. G2938B, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) as per manufacturer's instructions and FIG. 5 is the computer-generated printout of the electrophoresis assay results. The legend for FIG. 5 is L: Ladder, Ambion RNA 6000 Ladder (Part Number 7152), lanes 1-3: Arabidopsis RNA isolated with SiCw column from GF/F pre-filtered homogenate, and 4-6: Arabidopsis RNA isolated with SiCw column from cleared (centrifuged) homogenate.

[00158] As with the animal tissues shown in FIG. 9 and Table 7, it can be seen from the results shown in lanes 1-3 that the pre-filtration methods and devices of the present invention remove most of the gDNA from plant tissue samples. In fact, the level of gDNA present after pre-filtration was not detectable by the sensitive assays employed.

[00159] Genomic DNA contamination was quantified with a 5' nuclease assay, or "real-time" PCR assay, run on the Applied Biosystems Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). This type of assay monitors the amount of PCR product that accumulates with every PCR cycle. This is a highly sensitive and reproducible assay for the detection of PCR product.

[00160] Isolated tcRNA (200 ng) from arabidopsis leaf was added to a reaction mixture containing primers and probe specific for the 18S ribosomal RNA. As per manufacturer's guidelines, all samples were run in a reaction mixture consisting of

both primers at 500nM, fluorescent probe at 200 nM, and 1X Taqman Universal Master Mix (part no. 43044437). Serial dilutions of arabidopsis DNA purified from leaves with the Promega kit were used for the generation of a standard curve. All samples, standards and no-template controls were run in duplicate.

[00161] The assay amplifies a 187 base-pair fragment within an exon. The primers and probe were designed using the Primer Express software package (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, part no. 4329442). The primers were desalted and the probe (5' labeled with 6-FAM and 3' labeled with BHQ-1) was purified by anion exchange followed by reverse phase HPLC (Biosearch Technologies, Novato, CA). The assay cycling parameters for both assays are the default conditions set by the manufacturer, *i.e.*, 50° C for 2 min., 95° C for 10 min., then 40 cycles of 95° C for 15 sec. to 60° C for 1 min.

[00162] Quantitation of gDNA in the isolated tcRNA was calculated from the Arabidopsis gDNA standard curve and those results are shown in Table 8. The results shown in FIG. 11 and Table 8 demonstrate the versatility of the glass-fiber pre-filtration methods and devices of the present invention to include use with plant tissues.

Table 8: Illustrates yields of RNA and the levels of gDNA contamination of the RNA isolated and shown in FIG. 11.

| Lane in Fig. 11 | <u>Reduction of gDNA with pre-filtration</u> <u>Arabidopsis RNA Isolations</u> | |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | $\mu\text{g tcRNA/ mg}$ tissue | pg gDNA/ ng tcRNA |

| | | | |
|--------------|-----|------|------|
| SiCw | 1-3 | 0.18 | ND* |
| Arabidopsis | | | |
| Pre-Filtered | | | |
| SiCw | 4-6 | 0.13 | 52.4 |
| Arabidopsis | | | |
| Cleared | | | |

[00163] *ND=not detectable

[00164] Example 6: Additional tissue isolation

[00165] In addition to the isolation and purification procedures described above, RNA isolation from tissues high in connective tissue and contractive proteins such as skin, heart and muscle can be facilitated with Proteinase K treatment. To begin, such tissues are homogenized, as described above, and an equal volume of water can be added to the sample. Proteinase K can then be added to a final concentration of 1 unit/100 μ L, mixed and incubated at 55° C for 10 minutes. The homogenate can then be centrifuged through the pre-filter column of the present invention (with/without further processing), with or without DNase treatment as described above.

[00166] Also, preparation of RNA from larger numbers of samples can be facilitated using a vacuum manifold designed for use with solid phase extraction (SPE) columns and vacuum pumps. Samples are homogenized, clarified and mixed with a low molecular weight alcohol such as ethanol, as above. If using the SiCw column of the present invention, the SiCw spin column is then placed on a vacuum manifold with the stopcock in the shut position. The ethanol-containing homogenate can then be added to the column and the stopcock opened to let the homogenate through. The stopcock is then shut, 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #1 is added and the stopcock opened again. This process is repeated for the subsequent 500 μ L and 250 μ L Wash Buffer #2 washes as described above. The stopcock is then left open for 2 minutes to dry the spin column and the spin column is then placed into a 1.5 mL microfuge tube for the final elution as described above.

[00167] Example 7: Component Preparation for use of the RNA isolation column

[00168] Isolation Column Preparation:

[00169] A single layer of membrane (*e.g.*, 0.8 μm BTS) was placed on top of a polyethylene frit of about 90 μm in pore size (Porex Corp., Fairburn, GA) in the mini spin-column device (Orochem, Westmont, IL). A plastic retainer ring was placed on the assembly to secure (FIG. 5).

[00170] Fiber Prefiltration Column Preparation:

[00171] Prefiltration columns were prepared as described in US patent application 10/631,189, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference. This is the same procedure as outlined in Example 1.

[00172] Reagent Preparation:

[00173] Reagents were prepared as in Example 1c.

[00174] Example 8: RNA isolation from mouse tissues:

[00175] RNA Isolations from a variety of mouse tissues and cells using a membrane isolation column with and without on-column DNase digestion were performed. RNA was assayed by the RNA 6000 Nano Assay (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, part no. 5065-4476) on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, part no. G2938B) as per Manufacturer's instructions (the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein by reference).

[00176] Mouse organs that were quick frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvest were obtained from Pel-Freez Biologicals (Rogers, AR). Alternatively, mouse organs can be used immediately after harvest, or preserved in a solution of RNALater (Ambion, Austin, TX).

[00177] Samples were weighed and power-homogenized in excess Lysis Solution (typically 20-fold excess) at 15,000 rpm for 30 seconds using an OMNI TH tissue homogenizer (Omni, Inc, Warrenton, VA).

[00178] Cell lines were obtained from American Type Tissue Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA 20108) and grown according to provided instructions. Cells were trypsinized to detach them from their culture vessel, resuspended and counted with a hemocytometer. The suspension was then centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 10 minutes. The resulting pellet was resuspended in Lysis solution for a final concentration of 8.3×10^6 cells/mL and vigorously vortex mixed for 1 minute. Alternatively, cells can be lysed in the cell culture vessel.

[00179] Tissue or cell homogenate (typically 300-600 μ L) was added to a prefiltration column and centrifuged for 3 min at 16,000 x g in an Eppendorf 5415D microcentrifuge (Brinkman, Westbury, NY).

[00180] An equal volume of 70% ethanol was added to each of the tissue homogenates, mixed and the ethanol-containing homogenates were added to the isolation spin columns containing a single layer of 0.8 μ m pore size MMM membrane (Pall Life Sciences, San Diego, CA) assembled as described in Example 7. The spin columns were then spun for at least 10 seconds at 16,000 x g. The flow-through was decanted from the collection tubes and the spin columns placed back in the collection tubes.

[00181] The spin columns were then washed twice with 500 μ L wash solution#2 (above) and centrifuged for at least 10 seconds at 16,000 x g. The spin columns were then centrifuged for 2 min at 16,000 x g to remove traces of wash solution.

[00182] RNA was eluted with 25 μ L nuclease-free water, centrifuging 1 min at

[00183] 16,000 x g. The RNA was then stored at -70°C .

[00184] Absorbance at 260 nm and 280nm was measured on an Agilent Technologies 8453 UV/VIS spectrophotometer to confirm the presence of eluted RNA. Table 9 is a summary of the resulting RNA yields. Figure 12 is the software-generated image of the RNA 6000 Nano Assay.

Table 9

| <u>Tissue</u> | <u>Yield by A_{260 nm}</u> |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Brain (30 mg) | 0.8 µg/mg |
| Liver (30 mg) | 4.5 µg/mg |
| Kidney (30 mg) | 2.7 µg/mg |
| Pancreas (10 mg) | 15 µg/mg |
| Spleen (15 mg) | 4.3 µg/mg |
| HeLa (cells) (5 x 10 ⁶) | 15.5 µg/10 ⁶ |
| NIH3T3 (cells) (5 x 10 ⁶) | 15 µg/mg |

[00185] As can be seen in Table 9 and FIG. 12, RNA purified using the methods and devices of the present invention is of high yield, purity and integrity. In FIG. 12, lane L is the ladder for molecular weight determination, 1 is brain, 2, is kidney, 3 is liver, 4 is pancreas, 5 is spleen, 6 is HeLa, and 7 is NIH3T3.

[00186] Example 9: Optimization of membrane

[00187] RNA was isolated from mouse spleen and thymus that were quick frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvest (obtained from Pel-Freez Biologicals (Rogers, AR)) using isolation spin columns with various polymeric membranes: 0.1 µm, 0.2 µm, and 0.8 µm BTS (Pall Life Sciences, San Diego, CA), 0.8 µm MMM (Pall Life Sciences, San Diego, CA), 0.45 µm and 0.8 µm PVDF (hydrophilic polyvinylidene fluoride, Millipore, Bedford, MA). RNA was isolated as described in Example 8, however, in this Example, the type of membrane used in the spin column of the isolation step was varied. The Specific Yield (µg tcRNA/mg spleen) is shown in Table 10.

Table 10

| | <u>Yield</u> |
|--------------|----------------------------|
| | <u>μg tcRNA/ mg Spleen</u> |
| 0.1 μm BTS | 2.6 |
| 0.2 μm BTS | 4.2 |
| 0.8 μm BTS | 5.0 |
| 0.45 μm PVDF | 1.6 |
| 0.8 μm PVDF | 1.8 |
| 0.8 μm MMM | 5.5 |

[00188] Example 10: Comparison of genomic DNA contamination

[00189] Mouse pancreas, spleen and thymus were obtained that were quick frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvest from Pel-Freez Biologicals (Rogers, AR). Spleen and thymus tissues were selected for the Examples shown herein because these are difficult tissues from which to isolate RNA due to the large amounts of gDNA present. RNA was isolated from these tissues as described in Example 9.

[00190] For samples indicated as “plus” DNase, samples were weighed and power-homogenized in excess Lysis Solution (typically 20-fold excess) at 15,000 rpm for 30 seconds using an OMNI TH tissue homogenizer (Omni, Inc, Warrenton, VA). Tissue homogenate (typically 300-600 μL) was added to a prefiltration column and centrifuged for 3 min at 16,000 x g in an Eppendorf 5415D microcentrifuge (Brinkman, Westbury, NY).

[00191] The spin columns were then washed with 350 μL wash solution #2 and centrifuged for 2 min at 16,000 x g to remove traces of wash solution.

[00192] DNase (5 units was added to 24 μL of DNase Digestion Buffer and mixed gently by pipetting. The mixture was added to the membrane surface of the column containing the partially purified RNA and incubated for 15 min at room temperature with the cap closed.

[00193] The spin columns were then washed once with 350 μ L wash solution #1 and centrifuged for at least 10 seconds at 16,000 x g . The spin columns were then washed twice with 500 μ L wash solution #2 and centrifuged for at least 10 seconds at 16,000 x g. The spin columns were then centrifuged for 2 min at 16,000 x g to remove traces of wash solution.

[00194] RNA was eluted using 25 μ L nuclease-free water, centrifuging 1 min at
[00195] 16,000 x g. The RNA was then stored at -70°C .

[00196] For comparison purposes, mouse spleens were homogenized as described and processed as per manufacturer's instructions for QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Column isolation, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein y reference. Samples were subjected to DNase digestion as per Manufacturer's instructions using QIAGEN RNase-free DNase Set, the entire teaching of which is incorporated herein y reference.

[00197] Genomic DNA contamination was quantified as described in Example 3.

[00198] Referring back to Tables 1 and 2, Table 1 (above) shows RNA yields from each of the conditions using the three tissues, and Table 2 (above) shows the levels of gDNA contamination in each, demonstrating the reduced levels of gDNA in the present invention's Standard (-DNase) RNA, and further reduction with the associated on-column DNase protocol.

[00199] While somewhat more gDNA is removed using the combination of pre-filtration and DNase digestion, the methods and devices of the present invention alone removes substantially all of the gDNA and allows a practitioner to avoid DNase treatment if desired for a particular application.

[00200] Thus it has been shown that the methods and devices of the present invention effectively remove gDNA from a sample from which RNA is being

isolated, can avoid the necessity of DNase digestion (yet are compatible with DNase digestion if necessary).

[00201] Example 11: Additional tissue isolation

[00202] In addition to the isolation and purification procedures described above, RNA isolation from tissues high in connective tissue and contractive proteins such as skin, heart and muscle can be facilitated with Proteinase K treatment.

[00203] To begin, such tissues are homogenized, as described above, and an equal volume of water can be added to the sample. Proteinase K can then be added to a final concentration of 1 unit/100 μ L, mixed and incubated at 55° C for 10 minutes. The homogenate can then be centrifuged through the pre-filter column of the present invention (with/without further processing), with or without DNase treatment as described above.

[00204] Example 12: Purification of Labeled cRNA

[00205] Complementary RNA was prepared from total RNA isolated from mouse liver, as described in Agilent Technologies' Low RNA Input Fluorescent Linear Amplification Kit Protocol, version 1.1, pgs 9-13.

[00206] Approximately 20 μ L of nuclease free-water was added to the cRNA sample bringing the total volume up to around 100 μ L. To this was added approximately 350 μ L of Stabilization Solution and the mixture was mixed thoroughly. To this mixture, approximately 250 μ L (~96-100% purity) ethanol was added and mixed thoroughly by pipet mixing. Approximately 700 μ L of the cRNA sample was transferred to a MMM column (see, FIG. 13). The sample was centrifuged for 30 seconds at 13,000 rpm. The flow-through was discarded. About 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #3 was added to the column. The sample was centrifuged for 30 seconds at 13,000 rpm. The flow through was discarded. This wash step was repeated (About 500 μ L of Wash Buffer #3 was added to the column. The sample was centrifuged for 30 seconds at 13,000 rpm. The flow through was discarded.) The

cRNA sample was eluted using a new collection tube (~1.5 mL), 30 μ L of RNase-free water was added directly to the column membrane. After 1 minute, the sample was centrifuged for 60 seconds at 13,000 rpm. The effluent was collected. This elution step was repeated again by adding 30 μ L of RNase-free water to the column and proceeding as before.

[00207] Figure 14 shows five different columns used to purify cRNA, (a) 0.1 MMM, (b) 0.4 MMM, (c) 0.6 MMM, (d) 0.8 MMM, and (e) a silica-based column (a Rneasy column manufactured by Qiagen). The designation of these columns, i.e., the MMM columns, are the μ m pore size of the bottom surface of the asymmetric membrane column of the present invention, such that 0.4 MMM means a MMM column having a pore size of 0.4 μ m. As seen from FIG. 14, the optimal pore size appears to be 0.6. Step 24 on page 13 of Agilent's Amplification Kit manual describes quantitating the cRNA on a Nanodrop instrument. Following this quantitation, the concentration of labeled cRNA was calculated by multiplying the absorbance at OD₂₆₀ by 10 (this is due to the path length of the nanodrop being 1 mm) then by 40 (simply because 1 OD unit = 40 μ g/mL of RNA) then by a dilution factor (if the prep needed to be diluted to be in the linear measurement range of the Nanodrop instrument). The resulting concentration was then plotted within Excel in order to produce the graph seen in FIG. 14.

[00208] Figure 15 shows a gel image of the various cRNA preparations. The gel was generated by running 2 μ L per lane of each cRNA prep (0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and silica-based) on a 1.5% agarose TBE gel for 45 minutes at 80 volts. Following electrophoresis, the gel was scanned using Amersham Typhoon 8600 variable mode imager set for fluorescence mode, at 530 volts, normal sensitivity, excitation set at 633 nm (red) and using a 670BP30 emission filter.

[00209] While this invention has been particularly shown and described with references to specific embodiments, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

[00210] The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with a color drawing will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.